
E. Round Table Discussion

3:30 – 4:45 *Cory Pope, Utah DOT (facilitator)*

Topics:

- NHS Routes Managed by Locals – Dealing with the Expanded NHS
 - How will States compile condition data for NHS routes outside of their system?
 - Do States see challenges in incorporating these routes into their AM modeling?
 - How will NHPP funding be allocated to routes outside of the State system?

- TAM and Data Issues
 - What level of technology will be needed to collect and process condition data for pavements and bridges?
 - Is there concern regarding the capacity of private vendors to meet the needs for all DOT's?

- What about Assets Other than Pavements and Bridges?
 - What additional roadway assets are currently being analyzed? Will State include these additional assets in formal TAMP implementation?
 - Will equipment fleets and or buildings be considered in TAMP's?
 - How will ancillary assets be assessed in terms of funding allocations?

- The TAMP and Meeting MAP-21 Performance Targets for Pavements and Bridges
 - Some DOT's currently have fairly mature AM programs. Is there concern that USDOT TAMP requirements will result in inconsistencies that require a "2 sets of books" approach?
 - What concerns and/or ideas have been identified regarding the performance measures or targets, and what influence they could have on funding flexibilities or even levels?

- How are States Dealing with Life-Cycle Costing at a System-Level?
 - How do you set targets that will: reflect public expectations? achieve the lowest overall life-cycle costs?
 - What design level/life is used for the analysis? Typical pavement/bridge design life? Other?
 - How are deterioration curves being developed for assets?