### **Transportation Asset Management** Webinar Series

# Webinar 5: Asset Management and Performance-Based Planning

Sponsored by FHWA and AASHTO

Press F5 to enter full screen mode, press Esc to exit full screen mode. Please do not put your phone on hold.





Webinar 5 — July 10, 2013

# FHWA-AASHTO Asset Management Webinar Series

- Sharing of knowledge is a critical component of advancing asset management practice
- This is the fifth of a 12-part webinar series that will run over a two year period
- Webinars will be held every two months with topics such as asset management plans, AM data needs, etc.
- Welcome ideas for future webinar topics and presentations
- Submit questions using the webinar's Q&A feature
- Next webinar: Addressing Preservation and Maintenance in Asset Management Plans – September 18, 2013 2:00 EST

### Welcome

- FHWA is pleased to sponsor this webinar series on Asset Management and Performance-based Planning, in cooperation with the AASHTO Sub-Committee on Asset Management
- FHWA's Office of Asset Management is working closely with the Office of Planning to collaborate on the integration of asset management and planning
- There is a close relationship between AM and performancebased planning
  - The planning process is integrated throughout the entire asset management process as a strategic connector to decision-making throughout an agency's priority setting and resource allocation process.

# AM and Performance-Based Planning Overview

- MAP-21 requires states to develop a transportation asset management plan (TAMP) for pavement and bridge assets on the expanded National Highway System (NHS)
  - State DOTs and MPOs will need to work together since some NHS roads are managed by local agencies
  - Understanding both state and local perspectives is important to develop a TAMP
- There are many benefits of tying planning and programming decisions to asset management goals and objectives
  - Having asset management well integrated into a performance-based planning process is an important ingredient in the value a transportation agency gets from asset management.
  - We'll be hearing more on this topic today

# AM and Performance-Based Planning Overview

- Recently, progress has been made in improving the integration of AM and performance-based planning.
- FHWA Office of Planning has focused on improving performance-based planning practices in transportation agencies including:
  - Identifying performance measures that support policies, goals, & objectives.
  - Understanding the role of performance measures and performance-based thinking during the planning process.
  - Knowing what data resources are needed to support better decision-making.
- Important issues to address include:
  - Optimal resource allocation strategy for AM versus other funding needs
  - Growing importance of preservation and maintenance of assets.
  - Addressing the importance of asset management and safety relationships.
- Visit the FHWA Office of Planning and the Office of Asset Management websites for more resources.

#### **Framework for PBPP**



From draft FHWA Performance-Based Planning and Programming Guidebook

# Webinar Overview

- Today presentation includes three perspectives on asset management and performance-based planning.
- Presenters will discuss their agencies' efforts to integrate asset management and performance-based planning
- Presentations will address specific challenges, success factors, and key benefits obtained and will highlight successful approaches for strengthening the relationship between asset management and performance-based planning
- Together, we will explore the strategic and operational benefits that State DOTs are achieving by tying planning and programming decisions to asset management goals and objectives.

# **Learning Objectives**

- Building working knowledge of key concepts and definitions in the areas of asset management and performance-based planning
- Understanding specific approaches to integrating asset management and performance-based planning
- Applying this knowledge to begin to answer the following questions:
  - What steps can your agency take to better connect components of asset management and performance-based planning?
  - What are the benefits that your DOT can expect from tying planning and programming to asset management goals and objectives?
- SHARE LESSONS LEARNED, IDEAS, KNOWLEDGE!!!

# Webinar Agenda

- 2:00 Webinar introduction and overview Matt Hardy (AASHTO) Steve Gaj and Harlan Miller (FHWA) and Hyun-A Park (Spy Pond Partners, LLC)
- 2:15 Performance-Based Planning in a Round Transportation World Keith Damron (Kentucky Transportation Cabinet)
- **2:40 The 2040 Plan for Southeast Michigan** Tom Bruff (Southeast Michigan Council of Governments)
- 3:10 Q&A and wrap up

#### **KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET**

"Performance Based Planning in a Round Transportation World"

Keith R. Damron, PE Director, Division of Planning July 2013





### **Construction Letting Annually**

# Public Roads in Kentucky 79,321 Miles

State Maintained Roads Over 27,616 Miles

#### The Transportation World Was Seen As Flat



#### Kentucky's Previous Linear Process

Asset Management Should lead Decision Making



#### The Transportation World is Round

### TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT CHALLENGE

**KYTC Secretary Mike Hancock** 

- 1 KYTC Definition Use data to define needs.
- 2 Prioritize & Rank Needs based on quality information and well-defined objectives.
- 3 Make better decisions about resource allocation and utilization.

### Maintenance Asset Management Focus Areas

#### Initial Focus

- Pavements
- Bridges

Under Development

• Signs

• Pipes/Culverts

**Future Plans** 

- Guardrail
- Striping
- Cable Barrier

• Etc.

# Pavement and Bridge Management



# Maintenance Rating Program

- Identifies general areas of concern (guardrail, ditching, signage, etc.)
- Does not identify specific locations
- Additional funding to address issues
- Moving toward Asset Management





#### **Traffic Asset Management Focus Areas**

### High Friction Surface

### Roadway Data Used

| Crash Milepoint | Curve<br>Data | Pavement |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|
|-----------------|---------------|----------|



# Rumble Strips

#### Roadway Data Used

| Crash Mile | epoint Lane<br>Width | Shoulder<br>Width | Speed<br>Limits | Auxiliary<br>Lanes<br>(TWLTL) |
|------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
|------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|



Roadway Departure Corridors



#### Using usRAP–US Road Assessment Program

usRAP -Below are a few data sets HSIP is currently using:

- •Distance
- •Length
- Traffic Flow
- •Setting
- •# of Lanes
- •Paved Shoulder Width
- •Unpaved Shoulder Width
- •Speed
- Roadside Hazards
- Intersection types



#### <u>Kentucky's</u> Unscheduled Needs List 2013

Over 2,453 projects

- Over \$60 billion
- Over 6,300 miles of roadway
- Over \$9.52 Million per Mile
- Over \$2.17 Million Average Per mile of the 27,616 State Road Miles

#### **Components of a Successful Planning Process**





### SPATIAL TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS MAP



# **PRIORITY ROUTES**



# **CRF-VSF-Lane & Shoulder Width**



# **Interactive Mapping System**

#### Highway Data Layers include:

-Functional Classification

-Crash Critical Rate Factor (CRF)

- -Volume-to-Service Flow (V/SF) Ratio
- -Truck Percentages
- -Number of Lanes
- -Lane Widths
- -Horizontal Degree of Curvature
- -Vertical Grades
- -Current Highway Plan Projects
- -Current UNL Projects
- -Composite Adequacy Ratings
- -Landslide and Rockfall Locations
- -Functionally Obsolete Bridges
- -Structurally Deficient Bridges

## **District Transportation Plan**





| · (* ) / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / |  |
|--|--|
| 1  |  |
| 1  | UCULIAN DISTRICT 42 TOD 20 UNSCUEDIU ED DDOJECTS LIST  |
|  | IIGHWAY DISTRICT 12 TOP 30 UNSCHEDULED PROJECTS LIST   |
|  | PROGRAMMING INFORMATION  |
| and the second second                      | A DESCRIPTION OF A   |
| OUNTY NOV                                  | E PROJECT DE SCRIPTION EMP EMP PLANNES COST DEP B  |
|  | ADDRESS TRAFFIC FLOW AND EFFICIENCY.<br>SAFETY ISSUES AND TRAVEL THE SCH.  |
| LO10 KT 1                                  | 4 NECTION OF RY ALL  |
|  | RETARGE 1. Mobility<br>RETARGE 1. whe highest possible level hility of the existing system to meet   |
| _  | provide the second seco |
| 1000 1001                                  | MHTT • Objective and expand the 78 2 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +   |
| 85 - EX                                    | course objective 1.2: Improve and 5.   |
|  | 400st future traffic demand  |
| 1.010 871                                  | 4 Section System A Section System A Section System A   |
|  | Goal 2. Acced transport  |
| 100  | Minute provide and to other regiment the HERE AND  |
| LOND 05.                                   | ANDIN Objective 2.1: Support   |
|  | the improvement with x x x   |
|  | JUNCTION COMMUNITIES   |
| MACIN NT                                   | AND NEWS etc.).  |
|  | DE SAU TO . (public and semi-<br>FED (public and semi-<br>6.2  |
|  | ADDRESS THE Objective 2.3.   |
| ALC: NO                                    | THE JUNCTION TRANSPORT   |
| 1000                                       | ER, AND ELINA Objective 2.5: S 6.2,  |
|  |  |
|  | NUMBER NUMBER   LOND KY 11  |

#### **HIGHWAY DISTRICT PLANNING PROCESS**

#### PLAN INTENT

- Provide a <u>data-driven</u> foundation for recommend projects.
- Provide <u>quantifiable</u>, <u>documented</u> information related to needs and deficiencies across Kentucky's highway system.
- Provide <u>support</u> & a <u>process</u> for progressing projects from an idea to the Highway Plan.
- Meet the <u>Goals</u> and <u>Objectives</u> of the Cabinet & the Highway District through the <u>Engineering</u> Review.
- Utilize the current <u>metropolitan</u> and <u>regional planning processes</u> that provide the <u>Public</u> and <u>Local Officials</u> Input.
#### Kentucky's Scorecard



#### Asset Management For Future Performance Based Decision Making

- Improve Existing Management Programs
- Include More Assets
- Identify Comprehensive List of Needs
- Develop New Strategies to Address Needs
- Train Staff

## Thank You?







Establishing a Regional Investment Direction Exploring Transportation Investment Choices

**Creating Success with Our Transportation Assets: CREATING SUCCESS** 2040 Regional Transportation Plan **SEMCOG** 





## Employment and population similar to 2000 levels

Southeast Michigan, 1990-2040,



Source: SEMCOG 2040 Forecast (Draft)

## Is the glass half full or half empty?





# This requires that we align our scarce resources



# Aligning resources requires focusing on shared outcomes



### Measuring the Condition of Our Transportation System



#### We're Under-Investing... Changing pavement condition



## ...and it is escalating costs to taxpayers



### Analysis of Pavement Strategies



#### Investment vs. Performance Pavement



#### It's not just what we spend, It's how we spend it

#### Spend 10% on Prevention



Condition declines From 70% to 40% good/fair



Current condition sustained 70% good/fair

Spend 50% on Prevention

#### Same \$400 million, much different result



#### Other Program Areas Analyzed

- Transit
- Bridge preservation
- Roadway capacity expansion
- Non-motorized
- Safety
- Operations



## Performance-based Planning



#### **Fiscal Sustainability**

27/11/28/11/28/11/28/11/30/11/11/31/11/32

92

12

12



## Funding formula out of alignment with reality

Revenues rely on consumption



Policies emphasize conservation



#### Infrastructure funding formulas are increasingly obsolete Impact of Federal Fuel Economy Standards



### Measure: Transit ridership



### **Our Transit System Ranks Low**



## Measure: Peak demand

56

12

1



Peak demand is ¼ of day but almost ½ of daily travel happens in those 6 hours



## Measure: System utilization

27 3|4 7|8

197

12

7





The Flip Side: Some roads have more capacity than needed

Over 600 miles of roadway could potentially be downsized or repurposed.



#### Measure: Public Sentiment

27/11/28/11/29/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/133

26

12

7



#### Only 11% think current funding methods will work in future



#### Current ways of funding won't work in future?

#### **Funding Paradox**





#### Residents understand its condition personally affects them

Agree
Condition of our road system impacts 89% each one of us
Quality of our public transportation 62% system impacts each one of us
Condition of our water and sewer 87% systems impact each one of us
Better roads extend vehicle life 90%



#### Good news: Significant majority are willing to act

#### **Actions Willing to Take**


# Except for transit, most think they know how infrastructure is funded

### But...

25% are "Not sure" or "Don't know" Many basics are not well understood



## Now let's discuss where the rubber hits the road



• Think regionally and act locally?

#### Yes and Yes!

Think locally and

act regionally?

#### Do we:



SEMCOG

#### Aligning our actions





#### Less Alignment



#### Breaking the silos requires some adjustments in our thinking



Roads



Environment





SEMCOG

#### Actions in an outcomefocused decision structure are more aligned



Develop a Strategic Investment Process and Implement it!

#### Technical

- What is the cost effectiveness of different strategies?
- What is the total cost of different targets?

Develop a Strategic Investment Process and Implement it!

- What are the implications for the transportation system?
- How do differing targets impact other outcomes?
- Select targets

• Assure actions and revenue allocation reflects targets

#### Setting Targets

| Category                     | Cost-Effective<br>Target | Real Cost | Interim<br>Target                | Strategic<br>Spending<br>Level |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Pavement                     | 80%                      | \$500     | 70%                              | \$250                          |
| Bridges                      | 90%                      | \$300     | 80%                              | \$200                          |
| Other                        | 85%                      | \$700     | 65%                              | \$250                          |
| Total cost effective target: |                          | \$1500    | Total<br>strategic target: \$700 |                                |





#### The glass is half full: We have much to be proud of



System supports millions of trips and millions of dollars of commerce every day

SEMCOG

#### **Completing the circle**

DUTCOMES

Creating Success in Southeast Michigan

MEASURES



# These actions help fill the glass positioning us for greater Success





#### Thank you

• WWW.Semcog.org (www.semcog.org/2040RegionalTransportationPlan.aspx)

Tom Bruff
SEMCOG
Plan and Policy Development Group
bruff@semcog.org
313-324-3340 (direct)





#### **Questions?**

• Submit your questions using the webinar's Q&A feature

#### Next webinar:

Addressing Preservation and Maintenance in Asset Management Plans – September 18, 2013 2:00 EST

