AASHTO SCOPM Task Force on MAP-21 National Performance Measures

Target-Setting Workshop

Christos Xenophontos, Rhode Island DOT Judith Corley-Lay, North Carolina DOT

Pavement Performance Measure Area

Thursday, June 13, 2013

Pavement Participants



- Tim Barnett, Alabama DOT
- Jean Nehme, Arizona DOT
- Floyd Roehrich, Arizona DOT
- Jessie Jones, Arkansas DOT
- Charles Meyer, Colorado DOT
- Scott Richrath, Colorado DOT
- Colleen Kissane, Connecticut DOT
- Tom Cole, Idaho DOT
- Priscilla Tobias, Illinois DOT
- John Selmer, Iowa DOT
- Allen Myers, Kentucky Transportation
 Cabinet
- Duane Burnell, Maine DOT

- Joyce Taylor, Maine DOT
- Paul Fernandes, Massachusetts DOT
- Paul Kenney, Massachusetts DOT
- Christopher Lynch, Massachusetts
 DOT
- Esther Nga, Massachusetts DOT
- Bernie Arseneau, Minnesota DOT
- Brenda Cowin, Minnesota DOT
- Leanna Depue, Missouri DOT
- John Donahue, Missouri DOT
- Fred Zwonechek, Nebraska DOT
- Lou Adams, New York State DOT
- Brad Allen, New York State DOT

Pavement Participants (continued)



- Rick Bennett, New York State DOT
- Regina Doyle, New York State DOT
- Allan Warde, New York State DOT
- Judith Corley-Lay, North Carolina DOT
- Scott Zainhofsky, North Dakota DOT
- Troy Costales, Oregon DOT
- Christos Xenophontos, Rhode Island
 DOT
- Terry Pence, Texas DOT
- Robert Hull, Utah DOT
- David Luhr, Washington State DOT
- John Milton, Washington State DOT

- Rich Denbow, AMPO
- Kelly Hardy, AASHTO
- Matt Hardy, AASHTO
 - Vicki Schofield, AASHTO



GENERAL CONCERNS

- Recognize that target setting process is integral to risk based asset management plan (TAMP) development
 - Target-setting involves tradeoffs across assets/program areas
 - Requires a long-term view, need to communicate long term impacts of a less aggressive target/higher need backlog
- The processes for off system/local NHS roads is not in place for monitoring and analyzing the data, no trend line has been established
- Recognize TIP/STIP project cycle time lag to impact system conditions given existing commitments. Changes to the STIP late in the game may put agency credibility on the line.



GENERAL CONCERNS (CONTINUED)

- Present results in context of: funding, freight flow trends, population growth, weather, local jurisdiction action/inaction, customer survey results, assumptions vs. reality, etc.
- Consequences of failure to meet a target must be carefully weighed – could have unintended consequences
 - affect the attainment of targets in other areas (lack of system-wide view)
 - drive investment decisions to a worst-first strategy



MEASURE DEFINITION

- Structural Health Index recognize lack of established definition;
 variations across states in source data to compute potential index
- Advancement of Structural Health Index: Have a pooled fund study to develop consistent faulting and cracking standards.
 Intensive effort underway to move forward structural-capacity testing technology/implementation.
- Recognize variations in each state's internal processes of finalizing results



GUIDANCE AND TRAINING NEEDS

- Technical information and guidance is needed on:
 - Measurement and analysis of IRI
 - Calibration and certification of measurement equipment
 - Summaries of the latest research on road roughness and its effect on vehicle operating costs



GUIDANCE AND TRAINING NEEDS

- Technical information and guidance is needed on:
 - Measurement and analysis of IRI
 - Calibration and certification of measurement equipment
 - Summaries of the latest research on road roughness and its effect on vehicle operating costs